UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,459	07/22/2003	Shuichi Mizuno	3831.03	2554
HANA VERNY	7590 12/22/200 {	EXAMINER		
	NY, JONES & SCHM	NAFF, DAVID M		
SUITE 230 425 SHERMAN AVENUE PALO ALTO, CA 94306			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1657	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/626,459	MIZUNO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	David IVI. INali	1637	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 10 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Anno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. 🛛 Th <u>e p</u> roposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b			cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor		ΓE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	· ·		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	er form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying the	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reig	acted claims	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 ⁻¹		cied ciairris.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		inpliant Amendment (1 1 OL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all. 		imaly filed amondmor	ot cancoling the
non-allowable claim(s).	owabie ii subifiitted iii a separate, i	illiely filed afficildifier	it cancelling the
7. A For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>41-46</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>47-40</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>38-47</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	I sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Displaying Statement(s) 		condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	r 1 0/36/06) Paper No(s)		
	/David M. Naff/		
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1657	
	<i>,</i> —,,,		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: (a) & (b), in lines 14 and 15 of step h) of claim 38, reciting "a treated cartilage formed of superficial zone chondrocytes" raises new issues requiring further consideration and/or search, and raises the issue of new matter. The meaning of this recitation and how it further limits the superficial cartilage layer previously formed is uncertain since the specification fails to describe the superficial cartilage layer as being a "treated cartilage formed of superficial zone chondrocytes". The amendment refers to paragraphs 0088 and 0098, however neither paragraph describes the superficial cartilage layer as a "treated cartilage formed of superficial zone chondrocytes". The recitation raises the issue of new matter since the specification nowhere recites "treated cartilage", and describes a treated cartilage as being formed of superficial zone chondrocytes.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claims are still rejected under first and second paragraphs of 35 USC 112 for reasons set forth in the office action of 10/15/08 since the amendment has not been entered. Even if entered, lines 13-20 (last paragraph) of step h) of amended claim 38 will still be confusing and unclear how the superficial cartilage layer is further limited. The rejections will be overcome and the claims would be allowable if the claims are resubmitted as amended, except that lines 12-19 of step h) are deleted as suggested in the office action of 10/15/08 (page 5, lines 19-20).